



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,717	07/03/2003	Joseph Wascow	0212.66426	4989
24978 7590 06/12/2008 GREER, BURNS & CRAIN 300 S WACKER DR 25TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606				
EXAMINER				
CHOI, STEPHEN				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3724				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/12/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/613,717

Applicant(s)

WASCOW, JOSEPH

Examiner

Stephen Choi

Art Unit

3724

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 March 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 12-21 and 32-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 12-21 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 32-35 and 37-42 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 36 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S508)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 32-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Clark et al. (US 4,011,782).

Clark discloses all the recited elements of the invention including a housing (e.g., at 20), a motor (e.g., at 20) disposed with the housing for rotating a circular saw blade (e.g., 56), a foot having a generally flat bottom surface (e.g., 22), a saw blade adjustment detent mechanism pivotally interconnecting the foot to the housing (e.g., at 40) and including a detent holding assembly carrying a pivotable saw blade adjustment detent (e.g., 122) with a pivot axis on one end portion (e.g., at 116), a transverse ridge (e.g., at 124), and a spring (e.g., 126) for biasing the detent into engagement with a recess (e.g., 128), and an arcuate member (e.g., 26) defining a plurality of spaced position recesses (e.g., 128). Regarding claim 35, a mounting bracket (e.g., 134) engageable with a locking lever (e.g., 130).

3. Claims 32, 37-38, and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 59167202 (hereafter '202).

'202 discloses all the recited elements of the invention including a housing (e.g., at 2), a motor (e.g., 5) disposed with the housing for rotating a circular saw blade (e.g.,

4), a foot having a generally flat bottom surface (e.g., 1), a saw blade adjustment detent mechanism pivotally interconnecting the foot to the housing (e.g., Figure 5) and including a detent holding assembly carrying a pivotable saw blade adjustment detent (e.g., 11) with a pivot axis on one end portion (e.g., the detent is pivotable when it is positioned as shown on Figure 6 and the pivot axis extends through one end portion to another end portion), a transverse ridge (e.g., 14), and a spring (e.g., 15) for biasing the detent into engagement with a recess (e.g., 13), and an arcuate member (e.g., 10) defining a plurality of spaced position recesses (e.g., 13). It is noted that a releasing force is applied to the foot via various connecting elements.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '202 in view of Lewin et al. (US 6,691,418).

'202 discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for a bevel angle adjustment mechanism including a bevel angle detent and an arcuate member defining a plurality of spaced bevel angle recesses. Lewin discloses a bevel angle adjustment mechanism including a bevel angle detent (e.g., 48) and an arcuate member (e.g., 50) defining a plurality of spaced bevel angle intervals (e.g., 54). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

modify the device of '202 with a bevel angle adjustment mechanism as taught by Lewin as means for adjusting bevel angles. Regarding claim 41, a mounting bracket (e.g., 46) and a locking lever (e.g., 58).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 12-21 are allowed.
7. Claim 36 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed March 03, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant contends that Neither Clark nor '202 patent teach the detent being disengaged from one of the position recesses when the foot is moved responsive to a user applying a releasing force to the foot without initiating any other action.

The examiner respectfully disagrees. Clark teaches a device including a foot (e.g., 22) capable being moved responsive to a user applying a releasing force to the foot to release the foot from a position on a supporting surface to another position on the supporting surface without initiating any other action while the detent being disengaged from one of the position recesses. It is noted that the claim 32 does not preclude other releasing force other than a force to release the detent from one of the position recesses. Furthermore, '202 patent does teach a device of capable disengaging the detent from one of the position recesses when the foot is moved

responsive to a user applying a releasing force to the foot without initiating any other action/ as a direct result of a user applying a releasing force to the foot without initiating any other action. For example, a user can apply a force to move the foot from a position shown on Figure 4 to left direction while the detent (e.g., 11) being stationary. Such an action will release the detent from a position shown on Figure 5 to a position shown on Figure 6.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Choi whose telephone number is 571-272-4504. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00-5:30.

Art Unit: 3724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer D. Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Stephen Choi/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3724
7 June 2008